arXiv:1701.08948v1 [math.DG] 31 Jan 2017

SINGULARITIES OF THE AREA PRESERVING CURVE SHORTENING FLOW WITH A FREE BOUNDARY CONDITION

ELENA MÄDER-BAUMDICKER

ABSTRACT. We consider the area preserving curve shortening flow with Neumann free boundary conditions outside of a convex domain or at a straight line. We give a criterion on convex initial curves that guarantees the appearance of a type II singularity in finite time. An appropriate rescaling at the finite maximal time of existence yields a grim reaper or half a grim reaper as limit flow. We construct examples of initial curves satisfying the mentioned criterion.

1. INTRODUCTION

The area preserving curve shortening flow (APCSF) for closed plane curves was introduced by M. Gage in 1986 [6]. It is the "steepest descend flow" for the length functional under the constraint that the enclosed area is constant. For a family of simple closed curves $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$, the evolution equation turns out to be

$$\frac{d}{dt}\gamma = \left(\kappa - \frac{\int \kappa ds}{L}\right)\nu = \left(\kappa - \frac{2\pi}{L}\right)\nu,$$

where we use the following notation: $\nu = J\tau$ is the normal of the curves, where J is the rotation by $+\frac{\pi}{2}$; κ is the curvature with respect to ν, L is the length of the curves and ds denotes integration by arclength. M. Gage proved in [6] that a strictly convex simple closed curve remains strictly convex under the APCSF. The curves converge for $t \to \infty$ smoothly to a circle enclosing the same enclosed area as γ_0. Thus, the flow converges to the solution of the isoperimetric problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$. This problem consists in finding the shortest closed curve enclosing a fixed area. The analog result for n-surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$ was proved by G. Huisken in [9]: A uniformly convex, embedded surface moving according to the volume preserving mean curvature flow stays uniformly convex and exists for all times $t \in [0, \infty)$. The moving surfaces converge smoothly to a sphere enclosing the same volume as the initial surface.

We consider the APCSF in a free boundary setting and want to know when and how singularities develop. But at first we recall what is known about singularities in the closed situation.

J. Escher and K. Ito considered in [5] immersed closed curves possibly with self-intersections. Then the evolution equation is $\frac{d}{dt}\gamma = \left(\kappa - \frac{2\pi m}{L}\right)\nu$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the index (or turning number) of the immersed closed curves. The index m is independent of time. Escher and Ito proved that an immersed curve with $m \geq 1$ and enclosed area $A_0 < 0$ or $m \geq 2$ and $L_0^2 < 4\pi m A_0$ develops a singularity in finite time. The proof is inspired by the work of K.-S. Chou on the surface diffusion flow

KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, INSTITUTE FOR ANALYSIS, ENGLERSTR. 2, 76131 KALRSRUHE, GERMANY

E-mail address: elena.maeder-baumdicker@kit.edu.

for curves [2]. X.-L. Wang and L.-H. Kong also studied immersed closed curves moving according to the APCSF [16]. They proved that the flow exists for all times and converges smoothly to an m-fold circle when the initial curve is convex and has so-called "n-fold rotational symmetry" and index m ($n > 2m$). On the other hand, "Abresch-Langer type" curves either converge to a multiple cover of a circle (when $A_0 > 0$) or the curvature blows up at finite time (when $A_0 < 0$) or the curvature blows up at the maximal time of existence (when $A_0 = 0$), see [16, Theorem 1.2]. Note that there are examples where only a slight change is necessary to deform an initial curve with $A_0 < 0$ into one with $A_0 = 0$ and then into one with $A_0 > 0$.

We now explain the free boundary setting of the APCSF which was studied by the author in [12, 11]. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a convex simple closed curve in the plane and orient it positively. We call Σ the *support curve*. It is not moving in time. An *initial curve* $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve that satisfies $\gamma_0(a), \gamma_0(b) \in \Sigma$ and

$$\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau_0(a), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a))\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau_0(b), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{1}$$

where $\angle$ denotes the oriented angle in $\mathbb{R}^2$, ${}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}$ is the tangent of Σ and τ_0 is the tangent of γ_0. These conditions mean that the curve γ_0 starts in Σ into the exterior domain with respect to Σ and comes back from the exterior. The contact angles are 90 degree angles. We now let the curve γ_0 flow according to the APCSF such that these conditions are preserved, i.e. $\gamma : [a, b] \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies (1) and $\gamma(a, t), \gamma(b, t) \in \Sigma$ for all $t \in [0, T)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\gamma = \left(\kappa - \frac{\int \kappa ds}{L}\right)\nu.$$

As the curves are not closed the quantity $\int \kappa ds$ is not an integer times 2π in general. It is in fact the first step to find conditions that guarantee a bound of $\bar{\kappa} := \frac{\int \kappa ds}{L}$ independent of t. In [12], the author proved that the flow in this setting does not develop a singularity when the initial curve satisfies four conditions:

i) γ_0 is strictly convex,
ii) it is embedded,
iii) it is contained in the exterior domain with respect to Σ and
iv) it satisfies $L_0 < \frac{4}{5 \max|{}^{\Sigma}\kappa|} \arcsin\left(\frac{A_0}{L_0^2}\right)$,

where A_0 is the enclosed area of the domain enclosed by γ_0 and the part of Σ connecting $\gamma_0(b)$ and $\gamma_0(b)$. Furthermore, the curves $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ subconverge smoothly for $t \to \infty$ to an arc of a circle sitting outside of Σ and meeting Σ perpendicularly.

In this paper we answer the following questions that naturally arise when studying this setting:

- Can convex curves develop a singularity under the APCSF in the free boundary setting?
- Do they appear in finite time?
- Of what type are the singularities?
- How does a blowup at the singular time look like?

For our main theorem we have to introduce some notation. Let $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$. Define $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ as the connection of $\gamma_0(a)$ to $\gamma_0(b)$ along Σ (with the positive orientation of Σ). In the case $\gamma_0(a) = \gamma_0(b)$ we choose $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ to

be one full circulation of Σ. The assembled curve $\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0$ is a closed curve with exterior angles $+\frac{\pi}{2}$. Then we call the index of $\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0$, $l := \mathrm{ind}(\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0) \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *loop number* of γ_0. Define ${}^{\Sigma}d := \min\{|x-y| : x, y \in \Sigma, {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(x) = -{}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(y)\}$ and let $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0)$ be the oriented enclosed area of $\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0$. The enclosed area of Σ is denoted by $A(\Sigma)$. With this notation we can state our main theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with loop number l satisfying $\kappa_0 > 0$ and $L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d$. We consider three different situations:*

i) Either $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (0,\pi)$ and γ_0 satisfies $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) > 0$ and $\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0)} \leq \pi\frac{(2l-1)^2}{l}$.

ii) Or $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (\pi, 2\pi]$ and γ_0 satisfies $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) + A(\Sigma) > 0$ and $\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0)+A(\Sigma)} \leq \pi\frac{(2l+1)^2}{l+1}$.

iii) Or $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (0,\pi)$ and $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) < 0$.

In any of these cases the solution of the area preserving curve shortening flow with Neumann free boundary conditions outside of Σ develops a singularity in finite time, i.e. $T_{max} < \infty$. The singularity is of type II in the sense that

$$\sup_{p\in[a,b]} |\kappa|(p,t) \to \infty \ (t \to T_{max}) \text{ and}$$

$$\sup_{p\in[a,b]} \left(|\kappa|^2(p,t)(T_{max}-t)\right) \text{ is unbounded}.$$

The "Hamilton blow-up" at T_{max} yields either a grim reaper without boundary or half a grim reaper at a straight line.

Remark *i*) The "Hamilton blow-up" was defined in [7]. We will explain it in the proof of Corollary 2.19.

ii) There is numerical evidence given by U. F. Mayer [13] that there are embedded closed curves that at first get a self-intersection and then develop a singularity under the APCSF. In the free boundary setting, it seems to be the case that there are initially embedded curves that stay embedded but develop a singularity in finite time. These examples of initial curves satisfy condition *ii*) from Theorem 1.1, see Example Three in Section 3. We think that these curves develop a singularity at the boundary.

We also study the situation at a straight line. The result is as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve at a straight line Σ with $\kappa_0 > 0$. Let δ_0 be the closed curve getting by reflecting γ_0 at Σ. Let $ind(\delta_0) =: m$ be the index of δ_0. Then $m = 2k+1$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. And the area preserving curve shortening flow with Neumann free boundary conditions at the line Σ develops a type II singularity in finite time if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

i) Either $A(\delta_0) < 0$.

ii) Or $m \geq 3$ and $L(\delta_0)^2 < 4\pi m A(\delta_0)$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall definitions and some results from [11] that are necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then, we prove uniform bounds $0 < c_1 \leq \overline{\kappa}(t) \leq c_2 < \infty$ and $L(\gamma(\cdot,t)) \geq c_3 > 0$ under the conditions of Theorem 1.1. These bounds imply with [12] subconvergence to a part of a circle that is possibly (partly) multicovered. Together with the results from [12] we get that that the singularity is of type II.

In Section 3, we give examples of curves that do satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and thus develop a type II singularity in finite time.

Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.2. We reflect the curves at the line Σ and apply the results from [5]. We combine this with results from [12] to show that the singularity is of type II.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Jonas Hirsch for very useful discussions.

2. Singularities of type II in finite time

Notations Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \{1,2\}$, be a C^1-map, $f = f(p)$. Let $\gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a piecewise smooth, regular curve. We denote by $\partial_s f := \frac{1}{|\partial_p \gamma|}\partial_p f$ the derivative with respect to arclength of f. We define $ds := |\partial_p \gamma| dp$. We recall the formula for the curvature of γ

$$\kappa(p) = \langle \partial_s^2 \gamma(p), \nu(p) \rangle,$$

where $\nu = J\tau = J\partial_s \gamma$ is the normal of the curve γ, J is the rotation by $+\frac{\pi}{2}$ in the plane.

Definition 2.1. *We call a smooth, regular, convex, simple and smoothly closed curve ${}^{\Sigma}f : [{}^{\Sigma}a, {}^{\Sigma}b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ a* support curve. *We assume ${}^{\Sigma}f$ to be parametrized by arclength. We choose the orientation of the curve in the way that ${}^{\Sigma}\kappa \geq 0$. We use the notation*

$$\Sigma := {}^{\Sigma}f\left([{}^{\Sigma}a, {}^{\Sigma}b]\right).$$

Consider the periodic extension of ${}^{\Sigma}f$ and call it ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$. We use the notation $\int_{a_1}^{a_2} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d{}^{\Sigma}s$ for the integral of the curvature ${}^{\Sigma}\kappa$ of ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}$ from $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ to $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.
By the Jordan curve theorem, the curve Σ separates $\mathbb{R}^2$ into a bounded and an unbounded domain. The bounded domain is enclosed by Σ and is denoted by G_Σ.
We define ${}^{\Sigma}d := \min\{|x-y| : x,y \in \Sigma, {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(x) = -{}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(y)\}$, the smallest distance between two parallel lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$ that touch G_Σ.

Definition 2.2. *A planar, smooth, regular curve $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is called* initial curve *if it satisfies the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0(a), \gamma_0(b) &\in \Sigma \\ \angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau_0(a), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a))\right) &= \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau_0(b), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) &= -\frac{\pi}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_0 = \partial_s \gamma_0$ is the tangent of γ_0 and ${}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}$ is defined by

$${}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau} : \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \quad {}^{\Sigma}\tau = {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau} \circ {}^{\Sigma}f,$$

where ${}^{\Sigma}\tau$ is the tangent of Σ. The symbol $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}(v,w)$ denotes the oriented angle between two vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve. A smooth family of smooth, regular curves $\gamma : [a,b] \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}(p,t) &= (\kappa(p,t) - \overline{\kappa}(t))\nu(p,t) && \forall (p,t) \in [a,b] \times [0,T), \\ \gamma(p,0) &= \gamma_0(p) && \forall p \in [a,b], \\ \gamma(a,t), \gamma(b,t) &\in \Sigma && \forall t \in [0,T), \\ \angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau(a,t), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a,t))\right) &= \frac{\pi}{2} && \forall t \in [0,T), \\ \angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau(b,t), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b,t))\right) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} && \forall t \in [0,T) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

is called a solution of the area preserving curve shortening problem with Neumann free boundary conditions. *Here, $\overline{\kappa}$ denotes the average of the curvature,*

$$\overline{\kappa}(t) := \frac{\int \kappa(p,t) ds}{\int ds} = \frac{\int \kappa(p,t) ds}{L(\gamma(\cdot,t))}.$$

Remark For a smooth initial curve, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (2) is standard. One gets short time existence on a short time interval $[0,T_0]$. The solution can be extended up to a maximal time of existence $T_{max} \leq \infty$. By regularity theory for parabolic Neumann problems the curves satisfy

$$\gamma \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left([a,b] \times [0,T_{max}), \mathbb{R}^2\right) \cap C^{\infty}\left([a,b] \times (0,T_{max}), \mathbb{R}^2\right), \ \alpha \in (0,1),$$

where $C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ denotes the usual parabolic Hölder space. If $T_{max} < \infty$ then $\max_{[a,b]} |\kappa|(\cdot,t) \to \infty$ $(t \to T_{max})$. A source for the existence for closed curves moving by a geometric flow with a constraint is for example [4]. The technique how to transform the free boundary problem into a standard Neumann boundary problem can be found in [14, 15]. For our specific situation a sketch of the existence and regularity result is in [12, Proposition 2.4].

Definition 2.4. *Let Σ be a support curve and let $\gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a curve with $\gamma(a), \gamma(b) \in \Sigma$. Then we call a curve $\sigma : [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \to \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\sigma(\tilde{a}) = \gamma(a)$ and $\sigma(\tilde{b}) = \gamma(b)$ a* boundary curve on Σ with respect to γ.

Definition 2.5. *Let $\gamma : [a,b] \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a solution of (2). Consider a C^1-family of smooth curves $\sigma : [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \times [0,T) \to \Sigma$ with $\sigma(\tilde{a},t) = \gamma(a,t)$ and $\sigma(\tilde{b},t) = \gamma(b,t)$ for all $t \in [0,T)$, i.e. $\sigma_t := \sigma(\cdot,t)$ is a boundary curve on Σ with respect to $\gamma_t := \gamma(\cdot,t)$. Then for each $t \in [0,T)$, we call the following expression the* oriented area enclosed by γ_t and Σ:

$$A(\gamma_t, \sigma_t) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\gamma_t} p^1 dp^2 - p^2 dp^1 - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\sigma_t} p^1 dp^2 - p^2 dp^1. \tag{3}$$

We recall some basic properties proved in [12].

Lemma 2.6 (Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.11 and Corollary 2.14 [12])**.** *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth initial curve. Then we have the following properties: The area preserving curve shortening flow is curve shortening and area preserving, i.e. $\frac{d}{dt}L(\gamma_t) \leq 0$ and $\frac{d}{dt}A(\gamma_t, \sigma_t) = 0$ on $[0,T)$, where $\gamma : [a,b] \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a solution of (2) and $\sigma : [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \times [0,T) \to \Sigma$ is a C^1-family of boundary curve on Σ with respect to γ.*

As the domain G_Σ is convex and we are "outside" of Σ the flow improves convexity to strict convexity. This is, $\kappa_0 \geq 0$ for the initial curve implies $\kappa > 0$ on $[a,b]\times(0,T)$.

Remark If γ_0 is a smooth initial curve then a C^1-family of boundary curves σ on Σ with respect to γ exists. This was proved in [12, Lemma 2.9]. In the case that $\kappa_0 > 0$ we will also show this in Lemma 2.13.

We now start with proving some basic geometric properties of our curves.

Definition 2.7. *Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a piecewise smooth, regular and closed curve. The number*

$$ind(f) := n(\partial_p f, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is called the index *(or* turning number*) of f. Here, $n(\partial_p f, 0)$ denotes the winding number of the curve $\partial_p f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with respect to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a positively oriented convex smooth Jordan curve and let $\gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a C^2-curve with $\kappa > 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(a), \gamma(b) &\in \Sigma, \\ \angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau(a), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a))\right) &= \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\tau(b), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b))\right) &= -\frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that $\int \kappa ds \geq \pi$. Furthermore, if $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$ then $\int \kappa ds \geq 3\pi$.

Proof. In [12, Proposition 3.1], it was shown that the geometric situation of the curves imply $\int \kappa ds \geq \pi$. The statement there was formulated for a solution of (2). But the only properties of the curves that are used in the proof are strict convexity and the boundary conditions. If $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$ then

$$\int \kappa ds > \pi \tag{4}$$

was proved in [12, Proposition 3.1] as well. We improve this to $\int \kappa ds \geq 3\pi$. By assumption, γ is a closed piecewise smooth curve with exterior angle π at $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$. By the index theorem (see Theorem A.1) we get that

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni k := \mathrm{ind}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \kappa ds + \frac{1}{2}.$$

We use (4) to get $\pi < \int \kappa ds = 2k\pi - \pi$ which implies $k > 1$. Thus, we have that $k \geq 2$ and $\int \kappa ds = 2k\pi - \pi \geq 3\pi$.

□

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$. Define the "simplest" boundary curve $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ on Σ with respect to γ_0 as the connection of $\gamma_0(a)$ to $\gamma_0(b)$ along Σ (with the positive orientation of ${}^{\Sigma}f$). In the case that $\gamma_0(a) = \gamma_0(b)$ then we choose $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ to be one full circulation of Σ. With these definitions we have that*

$$ind(\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0) =: l \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{5}$$

where $\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0$ denotes the curve which is assembled by γ_0 and (in reversed direction) $\tilde{\sigma}_0$.

Proof. Let ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \Sigma$ be the periodic extension of the support curve ${}^{\Sigma}f$ and define

$$a_0 := {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(a)),$$
$$b_0 := \begin{cases} {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) & \text{if } {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) > a_0 \\ {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) + ({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a) & \text{if } {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) \leq a_0. \end{cases}$$

This definition makes sure that $a_0 < b_0 \leq a_0 + ({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$. Now the "simplest" boundary curve on Σ with respect to γ_0 is

$$\tilde{\sigma}_0(p) := {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}\,((b_0 - a_0)p + a_0) \quad \text{for } p \in [0,1].$$

This curve is the connection of $\gamma_0(a)$ to $\gamma_0(b)$ along Σ, in particular with the positive orientation of ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}$. It is smooth and regular. Note that in the case $\gamma_0(a) = \gamma_0(b)$ the boundary curve $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is exactly one period of ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}$, thus $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is closed and $\int_{\tilde{\sigma}_0} \kappa_{\tilde{\sigma}_0} ds_{\tilde{\sigma}_0} = \int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d{}^{\Sigma}s = 2\pi$ in this case. In general, the curve $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ satisfies $0 \leq \int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d{}^{\Sigma}s \leq 2\pi$ because of $a_0 < b_0 \leq a_0 + ({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$. Now we define $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = \gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0 : [a, b+1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_0(p) := \begin{cases} \gamma_0(p) & \text{for } p \in [a,b], \\ {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}(-(b_0 - a_0)(p-b) + b_0) & \text{for } p \in (b, b+1] \times [0,T). \end{cases}$$

This is the closed curve assembled by γ_0 and in reversed direction $\tilde{\sigma}_0$. The curve $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ is piecewise smooth, regular and has exterior angles $\frac{\pi}{2}$.
By the formula for the index (see Theorem A.1 in Appendix A) we have

$$\operatorname{ind}(\tilde{\gamma}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d{}^{\Sigma}s + \frac{1}{2} =: l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We prove $l \in \mathbb{N}$. If $l \leq -1$ then

$$\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} \leq \int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d{}^{\Sigma}s - 3\pi \leq 2\pi - 3\pi < 0,$$

a contradiction to $\kappa_0 > 0$, hence $l \geq 0$. But we even have $l \geq 1$: If $l = 0$ then

$$\pi \leq \int \kappa ds|_{t=0} = -\pi + \int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d{}^{\Sigma}s \leq -\pi + 2\pi = \pi, \tag{6}$$

where we used $\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} \geq \pi$ from Proposition 2.8. The boundary conditions imply that there cannot be any curvature of Σ between $\gamma_0(a)$ and $\gamma_0(b)$: Since $\gamma_0(a) = \gamma_0(b)$ would imply $\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} \geq 3\pi$ (due to Proposition 2.8) we have that $\gamma_0(a) \neq \gamma_0(b)$. After rotation and translating we are in the situation $\gamma_0(a) = 0$ and $\frac{\gamma_0(b)-\gamma_0(a)}{|\gamma_0(b)-\gamma_0(a)|} = -e_1$. Because of the convexity and the positive orientation of Σ, we have

$$\langle \gamma(b) - \gamma(a), {}^{\Sigma}\nu(\gamma(a)) \rangle \geq 0.$$

We hence get $\langle {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a)), e_2 \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b)), e_2 \rangle \leq 0$. The boundary conditions imply $\langle \tau(a), e_1 \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \tau(b), e_1 \rangle \geq 0$. The only way to get $\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} = \pi$ is that $\tau_0(a) = e_2$ and $\tau_0(b) = -e_2$, every other angle of the tangent would imply more contribution to the angle $\int \kappa ds|_{t=0}$. But by the convexity of Σ the line segment between $\gamma_0(a)$ and $\gamma_0(b)$ must be in Σ, so we are in the situation of Figure 1. This



FIGURE 1. The case $\int \kappa ds = \pi$

implies that the boundary curve $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is the straight line between $\gamma_0(a)$ and $\gamma_0(b)$. By the formula for the index, we then have

$$\operatorname{ind}(\tilde{\gamma}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$$

which contradicts $l = 0$.

□

Definition 2.10. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$ on $[a,b]$. Then we call $l := ind(\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0)$ the* loop number of γ_0*, where $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is the "simplest" boundary curve on Σ with respect to γ_0 due Lemma 2.9. We have proved $l \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$ on $[a,b]$. Then there is a boundary curve $\sigma_0 : [0,1] \to \Sigma$ on Σ with respect to γ_0 such that*

$$ind(\gamma_0 - \sigma_0) = +1,$$

where $\gamma_0 - \sigma_0$ denotes the curve which is assembled by γ_0 and (in reversed direction) σ_0.

Proof. In Lemma 2.9 we showed that the loop number l of γ_0 satisfies $l \in \mathbb{N}$. In general, l can be big. We now define a boundary curve on Σ with respect to γ_0 that compensates high contribution of $\int \kappa ds|_{t=0}$ to the index l. If $l = \operatorname{ind}(\tilde{\gamma}_0) = 1$ we are done and define $\sigma_0 := \tilde{\sigma}_0$. So we assume $l \geq 2$. Now we define σ_0 to be the boundary curve which starts in $\gamma_0(a)$ and ends in $\gamma_0(b)$ but circulates the closed curve Σ $(l-1)$-many times:

$$\sigma_0(p) := {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}\Big((b_0 + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a) - a_0)p + a_0\Big), \qquad p \in [0,1].$$

Then $\hat{\gamma}_0 = \gamma_0 - \sigma_0$ is regular because $a_0 < b_0 \leq b_0 + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$. The closed, piecewise smooth curve $\hat{\gamma}_0$ has exterior angles $+\frac{\pi}{2}$. We use the formula for the index (Theorem A.1), to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ind}(\hat{\gamma}_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{a_0}^{b_0+(l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b-{}^{\Sigma}a)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa ds^{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \kappa ds|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa ds^{\Sigma} ds_{\hat{\gamma}_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi}(l-1)2\pi \\
&= \operatorname{ind}(\tilde{\gamma}_0) - (l-1) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$ and loop number $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\gamma : [a,b] \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the solution of (2). Recall*

$$a_0 := {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(a)),$$
$$b_0 := \begin{cases} {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) & \text{if } {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) > a_0 \\ {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) + ({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a) & \text{if } {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(\gamma_0(b)) \leq a_0. \end{cases}$$

Then there exist unique maps $a : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $b : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a(0) = a_0 \text{ and } {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}(a(t)) = \gamma(a,t) \forall t \in [0,T),$$
$$b(0) = b_0 \text{ and } {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}(b(t)) = \gamma(b,t) \forall t \in [0,T).$$

These maps are C^1 on $[0,T)$ and smooth on $(0,T)$.

Proof. The maps $a, b : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ are the lifts of $\gamma(a,t)$ and $\gamma(b,t)$ with $a(0) = a_0$ and $b(0) = b_0$, see [3, Chapter 5.6, Proposition 2]. To be very precise we get lifts on $[0, T-\epsilon]$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. By uniqueness the lifts a, b are well-defined on $[0,T)$. The differentiability follows because $\gamma(a,\cdot)$ and $\gamma(b,\cdot)$ are C^1 in zero and smooth on $(0,T)$. □

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$ and loop number $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\gamma : [a,b] \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the solution of (2). Define*

$$\sigma(p,t) := {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}\left((b(t) + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a) - a(t))p + a(t)\right), \quad p \in [0,1],$$

where $a, b : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ are the lifts of $\gamma(a,t)$ and $\gamma(a,t)$ from Lemma 2.12. Define the assembled curves

$$\overline{\gamma} := \gamma - \sigma.$$

Then $\overline{\gamma}$ has the following properties:

- *i)* $a(t) < b(t) + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$ $\forall t \in [0,T)$. *This implies in particular that $\overline{\gamma}(\cdot,t) =: \overline{\gamma}_t$ is regular for every $t \in [0,T)$.*
- *ii) For all $t \in [0,T)$, the curves $\overline{\gamma}_t$ are piecewise smooth, regular, closed and they have exterior angles $\frac{\pi}{2}$. With respect to t, $\overline{\gamma}$ is C^1 on $[0,T)$ and smooth on $(0,T)$.*
- *iii)* $ind(\overline{\gamma}_t) = 1 \ \ \forall t \in [0,T)$.
- *iv)* $\int \kappa ds = (2l-1)\pi + \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} \ \ \forall t \in [0,T)$.

Proof. As $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and the definition of a_0, b_0 we get $a_0 < b_0 \leq b_0 + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$. We assume that there is a time $t_0 \in (0,T)$ with $a(t_0) = b(t_0) + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$ and $a(t) < b(t) + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$ on $[0,t_0)$. Then σ_t are regular for $t \in [0,t_0)$. The index formula (Theorem A.1) implies

$$\operatorname{ind}(\gamma_t - \sigma_t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \kappa ds - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)+(l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{2},$$

where we used that $-\sigma_t$ is negatively oriented. We have $\operatorname{ind}(\gamma_0 - \sigma_0) = 1$ due to the definition of γ_t and Lemma 2.11. Continuity of the index in t implies

$$\int \kappa ds = \pi + \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)+(l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} \quad \text{for } t \in [0,t_0). \tag{7}$$

This yields $\int \kappa ds_{t=t_0} = \pi$ and we get a contradiction to Proposition 2.8 because $a(t_0) = b(t_0) + (l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$ implies $\gamma(a,t_0) = \gamma(b,t_0)$. This shows (i). Now the other properties follow immediately. □

Remark We mention here that the statements from the beginning of Section 2 up to here were proved in [11, Section 3 & 4]. In Theorem 4.2.4 [11], a bound $0 < c_1 \leq \overline{\kappa}(t) \leq c_2 < \infty$ was shown under the condition $T_{max} < \infty$. We want to find conditions on the initial curve that guarantee a bound on $\overline{\kappa}$ even if $T_{max} = \infty$. We do this in the following.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$ and loop number $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d = \min\{|x-y| : x,y \in \Sigma, {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(x) = -{}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(y)\}$. Let $\gamma : [a,b] \times [0,T)$ be the solution of (2). Then there are two possibilities.*

i) Either $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (0,\pi)$. Then we have that

$$\int \kappa ds \in ((2l-2)\pi, 2l\pi) \ \forall t \in [0,T).$$

ii) Or $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (\pi, 2\pi]$. Then

$$\int \kappa ds \in (2l\pi, (2l+2)\pi) \ \forall t \in [0,T).$$

Proof. As $|\gamma_0(a) - \gamma_0(b)| \leq L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d$ we get ${}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)) \neq -{}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))$. By Lemma 2.13 we have for every $t \in [0,T)$

$$\int \kappa ds = (2l-1)\pi + \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} \tag{8}$$

Case *i*) means that $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) = \int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} \in (0,\pi)$. Now we get

$$\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} \in (-\pi, \pi) \text{ for all } t \in [0,T). \tag{9}$$

We prove this by contradiction. If there is a $t \in [0,T)$ with $\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} < -\pi$ (or $\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} > \pi$) then continuity implies that there must be a $t_0 < t$ such that $\int_{a(t_0)}^{b(t_0)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} = -\pi$ (or π). But this yields

$$\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a,t_0)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b,t_0))\right) = -\pi \text{ or}$$
$$\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a,t_0)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b,t_0))\right) = \pi.$$

In any case ${}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a,t_0)) = -{}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b,t_0))$ which contradicts

$$|\gamma(a,t_0) - \gamma(b,t_0)| \leq L(\gamma_{t_0}) \leq L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d.$$

In Case *ii*) we have that $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) = \int_{a_0}^{b_0} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} \in (\pi, 2\pi]$. With (8) we have to prove $\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} {}^{\Sigma}\kappa d s^{\Sigma} \in (\pi, 3\pi)$ for all $t \in (0,T)$. This follows as in Case *i*). □

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\gamma : [a,b] \times [0,T_{max}) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the solution of (2) where the initial curve $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ has loop number l and satisfies $\kappa_0 > 0$ and $L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d$. Furthermore, we assume that γ_0 satisfies*

i) $A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0) \neq -(l-1)A(\Sigma)$ if $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (0,\pi)$ or

ii) $A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0) \neq -lA(\Sigma)$ if $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (\pi, 2\pi]$.

Then there is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $L(\gamma_t) \geq \delta$ for all $t \in [0, T_{max})$.

Proof. We assume that there is a sequence $t_j \to T_{\max}$ with $L(\gamma_{t_j}) \to 0$ ($j \to \infty$). Since Σ is compact we get $x_0 \in \Sigma$ and (after passing to a subsequence) $\gamma(a, t_j) \to x_0$, $\gamma(b, t_j) \to x_0$. This means that the curves γ_{t_j} close up as $j \to \infty$. Therefore, $b(t_j) - a(t_j) \to k({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$ for a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In Case *i*) we know from (9) that $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a,t)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b,t))\right) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$. This implies that $b(t_j) - a(t_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. In Case *ii*) we have $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(a,t)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma(b,t))\right) \in (\pi, 3\pi)$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$ which implies $b(t_j) - a(t_j) \to ({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$.

We are in the situation that γ_{t_j} is located around x_0 for $j \geq j_0$ and that γ_{t_j} does not contribute much to the enclosed area. More precisely:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists r \; \exists j_1(r) : \{\gamma_{t_j}\} \subset B_r(x_0) \text{ for } j \geq j_1 \text{ and } |B_r(x_0)| = \pi r^2 \leq \epsilon. \tag{10}$$

In order to prove this, choose $r < \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\pi}}$. Then let j be big enough such that $\gamma(a, t_j), \gamma(b, t_j) \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)$ and $L(\gamma_{t_j}) \leq \frac{r}{2}$. Then, $\{\gamma_{t_j}\} \subset B_r(x_0)$ for these j. This follows because if $|\gamma(p, t_j) - x_0| \geq r$ for a $p \in (a, b)$ then the length between $\gamma(a, t_j)$ and $\gamma(b, t_j)$ over $\gamma(p, t_j)$ is at least r, a contradiction.
In Case *i*) we get that

$$A(\gamma_{t_j}, \sigma_{t_j}) \to -(l-1)A(\Sigma) \; (j \to \infty). \tag{11}$$

Proof of (11): As $b(t_j) - a(t_j) \to 0$ we get that

$$\sigma_{t_j} \to (p \mapsto {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}((l-1)({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)p + {}^{\Sigma}f^{-1}(x_0)))$$

which is a circulation of Σ $(l-1)$-times in positive direction. From (10) and the definition of $A(\gamma_t, \sigma_t)$ we get that it only remains area from the boundary curves in the limit, and positively oriented boundary curves give negative contribution to the enclosed area. We have proven (11) which contradicts the assumption as $A(\gamma_t, \sigma_t) = A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0)$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$.
The case *ii*) is similar to Case *i*), but here, we get one more circulation of Σ as $b(t_j) - a(t_j) \to ({}^{\Sigma}b - {}^{\Sigma}a)$. Thus, we have that $A(\gamma_{t_j}, \sigma_{t_j}) \to -(l-1)A(\Sigma) - A(\Sigma)$, a contradiction.

□

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a solution of (2) (without singularities in finite time) where the initial curve $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ has loop number l and satisfies $\kappa_0 > 0$ and $L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d$. We assume that γ_0 satisfies*

i) $A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0) \neq -(l-1)A(\Sigma)$ *if* $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (0, \pi)$ *or*
ii) $A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0) \neq -lA(\Sigma)$ *if* $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (\pi, 2\pi]$.

Here, σ_0 is the boundary curve on Σ with respect to γ_0 coming from Lemma 2.11. Then γ_t ($t \to \infty$) subconverges (after reparametrization) smoothly to an arc of circle γ^∞ sitting outside of Σ. Each of the two contact angles at the endpoints of γ^∞ is a 90 *degrees angle. Furthermore, the limit curve satisfies*

- $\int \kappa ds^\infty \in [(2l-1)\pi, 2l\pi)$ *in Case i) and*
- $\int \kappa ds^\infty \in [(2l+1)\pi, (2l+2)\pi)$ *in Case ii).*

Proof. We use [12, Theorem 7.15] to get subconvergence. We have to check $L(\gamma_t) \geq \delta > 0$ and $\overline{\kappa}(t) \in [\overline{c}, c_2]$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ for constants $\delta, \overline{c}, c_2 > 0$.

The first bound is Proposition 2.15. For the other bounds we recall

$$\overline{\kappa}(t) = \frac{\int \kappa ds}{L(\gamma_t)}.$$

We use the curve shortening property $L(\gamma_t) \leq L_0$, $\int \kappa ds \geq \pi$ from Proposition 2.8, the bound $L(\gamma_t) \geq \delta > 0$ from Proposition 2.15 and $\int \kappa ds \leq c < \infty$ from Proposition 2.14. As the convergence is global we get $\int \kappa ds^\infty \in ((2l-2)\pi, 2l\pi)$ in Case *i*) or $\int \kappa ds^\infty \in (2l\pi, (2l+2)\pi)$ in Case *ii*) from Proposition 2.14. But $\int \kappa ds^\infty \notin [(2l-2)\pi, (2l-1)\pi)$ in Case *i*) because then we would have $(l-1)$-times a full circle and an arc $\hat{\gamma}^\infty$ with angle in $[0, \pi)$ also meeting Σ perpendicularly from the outside. But this is excluded in Proposition 2.8. The analog argument works for Case *ii*). □

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with loop number l satisfying $\kappa_0 > 0$ and $L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d$. We consider two different situations:*

i) Either $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (0, \pi)$ and γ_0 satisfies $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) > 0$ and $\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0)} \leq \pi \frac{(2l-1)^2}{l}$.

ii) Or $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right) \in (\pi, 2\pi]$ and γ_0 satisfies $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) + A(\Sigma) > 0$ and $\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) + A(\Sigma)} \leq \pi \frac{(2l+1)^2}{l+1}$.

Here, $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is the "simplest" boundary curve on Σ with respect to γ_0 defined in Lemma 2.9.
In both cases the solution of (2) develops a singularity in finite time, i.e. $T_{max} < \infty$. The singularity is of type II in the sense that

$$\sup_{p \in [a,b]} |\kappa|(p, t) \to \infty \ (t \to T_{max}) \text{ and}$$
$$\sup_{p \in [a,b]} \left(|\kappa|^2(p, t)(T_{max} - t)\right) \text{ is unbounded}.$$

Proof. The proof is inspired by [2, Proposition B]. Consider the boundary curve σ_0 on Σ with respect to γ_0 coming from Lemma 2.11. By the construction of σ_0 we have

$$A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0) = -(l-1)A(\Sigma) + A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0).$$

Thus, we have $A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0) \neq -(l-1)A(\Sigma)$ in Case *i*) and $A(\gamma_0, \sigma_0) \neq -lA(\Sigma)$ in Case *ii*). We assume that $T_{max} = \infty$. Theorem 2.16 implies that $\gamma(\cdot, t)$ subconverges to an arc of a circle γ^∞ sitting outside of Σ such that

$$\int \kappa ds^\infty = 2(l-1)\pi + \alpha_\infty \text{ with } \alpha_\infty \in [\pi, 2\pi) \text{ in Case } i)$$
$$\int \kappa ds^\infty = 2l\pi + \beta_\infty \text{ with } \beta_\infty \in [\pi, 2\pi) \text{ in Case } ii).$$

We conclude

$$L(\gamma^\infty) = 2(l-1)\pi r_\infty + \alpha_\infty r_\infty \geq (2l-1)\pi r_\infty \text{ in Case } i) \tag{12}$$
$$L(\gamma^\infty) = 2l\pi r_\infty + \beta_\infty r_\infty \geq (2l+1)\pi r_\infty \text{ in Case } ii). \tag{13}$$

Here, r_∞ is the radius of the arc γ^∞. We compute in Case *i*)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0)} &= \frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0,\sigma_0)+(l-1)A(\Sigma)}\\ &\geq \frac{L(\gamma_{t_j})^2}{A(\gamma_0,\sigma_0)+(l-1)A(\Sigma)} \to \frac{L(\gamma^\infty)^2}{A(\gamma_0,\sigma_0)+(l-1)A(\Sigma)} \text{ as } t_j\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Analyzing the geometric situation in the limit we get that

$$A(\gamma_0,\sigma_0) = A(\gamma^\infty,\sigma^\infty) = -(l-1)A(\Sigma)+(l-1)\pi r_\infty^2+\tilde{A}_\infty, \tag{15}$$

where σ^∞ is the boundary curve that appears at the limit of σ_{t_j}. By $\tilde{A}_\infty$ we denote the area of the domain inside one full circulation of γ^∞ minus the positive area of G_Σ. We have that $\tilde{A}_\infty < \pi r_\infty^2$ by definition. Combining (14), (12) and (15) yields

$$\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0)} \geq \frac{(2(l-1)\pi r_\infty+\alpha_\infty r_\infty)^2}{(l-1)\pi r_\infty^2+\tilde{A}_\infty} > \frac{((2l-1)\pi r_\infty)^2}{l\pi r_\infty^2} = \pi\frac{(2l-1)^2}{l},$$

which contradicts the assumptions.
For Case *ii*), the computation is almost the same. In the end, we have

$$\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0)+A(\Sigma)} \geq \frac{L(\gamma^\infty)^2}{l\pi r_\infty^2+\tilde{A}_\infty} > \pi\frac{(2l+1)^2}{l+1},$$

which is again a contradiction. Thus, the flow develops a singularity in finite time in both cases. In [12, Theorem 4.16], it was shown that a singularity in finite time cannot be of type I if $L(\gamma_t)\geq\delta>0$ and $|\kappa(t)|\leq c<\infty$. As this is satisfied here, we know that the singularity must be of type II.

□

Remark The result of the previous theorem can be improved by analyzing the geometric situation in the limit more carefully. Instead of using the estimate $\tilde{A}_\infty < \pi r_\infty^2$ we can prove $\tilde{A}_\infty < \pi r_\infty^2(1-\frac{7}{20\pi})$. For Case *i*) for example, we get that $\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0)} < \pi\frac{(2l-1)^2}{l-\frac{7}{20\pi}}$ implies a singularity in finite time. This is again not sharp because we estimated some geometric constants.

Theorem 2.18. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve satisfying*

- $\kappa_0 > 0$,
- $L_0 < {}^\Sigma d$,
- $\angle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^\Sigma\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(a)), {}^\Sigma\vec{\tau}(\gamma_0(b))\right)\in(0,\pi)$ *and*
- $A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0) < 0$.

Then the solution of (2) develops a type II singularity in finite time.

Proof. This proof is inspired by [5, Proposition 9, first part]. Let $l\in\mathbb{N}$ be the loop number of γ_0. We have that $A(\gamma_0,\sigma_0) = -(l-1)A(\Sigma)+A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0)$ and therefore $A(\gamma_0,\sigma_0)\neq -(l-1)A(\Sigma)$. Assume that $T_{max}=\infty$. By Theorem 2.16 the solution γ_t of (2) subconverges to an arc of a circle sitting outside of Σ. We choose any sequence $t_j\to\infty$. We now consider the boundary curves on Σ with respect to γ_t that arise when we start with $\tilde{\sigma}_0$, that is,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{t_j}(p,t) := {}^\Sigma\tilde{f}((b(t)-a(t))p+a(t)) \text{ for } (p,t)\in[0,1]\times[0,\infty).$$

We get that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{t_j}\to(p\mapsto {}^\Sigma\tilde{f}((b^\infty-a^\infty)p+a^\infty),\quad p\in[0,1]) =: \tilde{\sigma}^\infty,$$

where $a^\infty := \lim_{t_j\to\infty} a(t_j)$ and $b^\infty := \lim_{t_j\to\infty} b(t_j)$. We even know that

$$\measuredangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma^\infty(\bar{a})), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma^\infty(\bar{b}))\right) \in (0,\pi), \tag{16}$$

where the parameter interval of γ^∞ is $[\bar{a},\bar{b}]$. This follows from (9) and from the fact that γ^∞ is a (positively oriented) arc of a circle. In fact, we first use (9) and $L(\gamma^\infty) \le L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d$ to get $\measuredangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left({}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma^\infty(\bar{a})), {}^{\Sigma}\vec{\tau}(\gamma^\infty(\bar{b}))\right) \in (-\pi,\pi)$. By the geometry of an arc of a circle sitting perpendicularly at Σ there are only two boundary curves along Σ that connect the two boundary points with a tangent turn less than π. Both are the part of Σ that is inside of the circle with radius r_∞ where γ^∞ is part of (more precisely we mean the part of Σ that at least starts into the inside and ends in the inside of the circle). But one is oriented with the positive orientation of ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}$ and one is negatively oriented. As γ^∞ is a positively oriented arc of a circle and since $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_j}$ always starts at $\gamma(a,t_j)$ and goes to $\gamma(b,t_j)$ the curve $\tilde{\sigma}^\infty$ is positively oriented, i.e. we have proved (16).
This means geometrically that the "simplest" boundary curve with respect to γ_{t_j} converges to the "simplest" connection between $\gamma^\infty(\bar{a})$ and $\gamma^\infty(\bar{b})$, and this is a positively oriented part of Σ with a tangent turn less than π. We know that $0 > A(\gamma_0,\tilde{\sigma}_0) = A(\gamma^\infty,\tilde{\sigma}^\infty)$. This yields a contradiction because the limit curve γ^∞ is a positively oriented arc of a circle and there is no negative contribution from some boundary curve circulating Σ. More precisely, γ^∞ consists of $l-1$ full circulations and an arc with $\alpha_\infty = \int \kappa ds \in [\pi, 2\pi)$. We hence get $A(\gamma^\infty,\tilde{\sigma}^\infty) = A(\gamma^\infty - \tilde{\sigma}^\infty) \ge (l-1)\pi r_\infty^2 + A^\infty \ge 0$. Here, A^∞ is the area of the "last" open arc of γ^∞, i.e. $A^\infty = A(D_{r_\infty}) - A(G_\Sigma \cap D_{r_\infty}) \ge 0$, where D_{r_∞} is the domain enclosed by the circle with radius r_∞ where γ^∞ is part of.
The finite time singularity and $|\overline{\kappa}| \le c$, $L(\gamma_t) \ge \delta > 0$ imply that the singularity must be of type II by [12, Theorem 4.16].

□

Remark There are no curves with $l = 1$ satisfying all the conditions from Theorem 2.18. But for $l \ge 2$ there are examples satisfying the conditions, see the next section.

Corollary 2.19. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve satisfying the conditions from Theorem 2.17 or Theorem 2.18. Then the "Hamilton blow-up" at T_{max} yields either a grim reaper (we call this situation an "inner singularity") or half a grim reaper at a plane (a "boundary singularity").*

Proof. The situation of a finite type II singularity was treated in [12, Section 6]. We repeat the important steps for the sake of completeness. We recall the "Hamilton blow-up" [7]: Define $T := T_{max}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ choose $t_j \in [0, T - \frac{1}{j}]$ and $p_j \in [a,b]$ such that

$$|\kappa|^2(p_j,t_j)\left(T - \tfrac{1}{j} - t_j\right) = \max\left\{\left(|\kappa|^2(p,t)\left(T - \tfrac{1}{j} - t\right)\right) : t \in [0, T - \tfrac{1}{j}], p \in [a,b]\right\}.$$

Then define $Q_j := |\kappa|(p_j,t_j)$ and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_j(\cdot,\tau) := Q_j\left(\gamma(\cdot, \tfrac{\tau}{Q_j^2} + t_j) - \gamma(p_j,t_j)\right) \text{ for } \tau \in [-Q_j^2 t_j, Q_j^2(T - t_j - \tfrac{1}{j})] \text{ on } [a,b].$$

As the singularity is of type II, one can show certain properties of the rescaled flow. The most important ones are $\tilde{\kappa}_j(p_j, 0) = 0 \ \forall j$, $|\tilde{\kappa}_j|(\cdot, \tau) \leq 1 \ \forall j$ and

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \forall \overline{\tau} > 0 \ \exists j_0(\epsilon, \overline{\tau}) \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall j \geq j_0 : |\tilde{\kappa}_j|^2(p, \tau) \leq 1 + \epsilon$$
$$\forall \tau \in [-Q_{j_0}^2 t_{j_0}, \overline{\tau}], \forall p \in [a, b].$$

Then there exist reparametrizations $\psi_j : I_j \to [a, b]$ with $|I_j| \to \infty$ $(j \to \infty)$ such that a subsequence of the rescaled curves

$$\gamma_j := \tilde{\gamma}_j(\psi_j, \cdot) : I_j \times [-Q_j^2 t_j, Q_j^2 (T - t_j - \tfrac{1}{j})] \to \mathbb{R}^2$$

converges locally smoothly to a limit flow $\tilde{\gamma}_\infty : \tilde{I} \times (-\infty, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (where $\tilde{I}$ is an unbounded interval containing 0). The proof of this subconvergence can be found in [12, Proposition 6.2, Proposition 4.7]. It uses the gradient estimates from Stahl [14, 15]. The limit flow $\tilde{\gamma}_\infty$ is a smooth solution of the curve shortening flow and satisfies $0 < \tilde{\kappa}_\infty \leq 1$ everywhere and $\tilde{\kappa}_\infty = 1$ at least at one point. If $\tilde{M}_\tau^\infty := \tilde{\gamma}_\infty(\tilde{I}, \tau)$ has a boundary, then $\partial \tilde{M}_\tau^\infty \subset {}^\infty\Sigma$, where ${}^\infty\Sigma$ is a line through $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $\langle \tilde{\nu}_\infty, {}^{\infty\Sigma} \nu \rangle = 0$ on $\partial \tilde{M}_\infty$. By reflecting at the line ${}^\infty\Sigma$ one gets an eternal solution of the curve shortening flow with bounded curvature where the maximal curvature is attained at least at one point. Due to [8, Theorem 1.3], the limit flow must be a translating solution and the only translating solution in the case of curves is the "grim reaper" which is the flow of curves given by $x = -\log \cos y + \tau$ for $y \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$. In the situation where the limit flow does have a boundary the limit flow must be "half the grim reaper" at ${}^\infty\Sigma$ because the grim reaper has only one symmetry axis. □

In [2] and [5] the blowup-rate at the singularity was characterized for the L^2-norm of the curvature, and not for the C^0-norm as above. This L^2-rate can also be proved for the free boundary setting:

Proposition 2.20. *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \times [0, T_{max}) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a solution of (2) with $T_{max} < \infty$ and $|\overline{\kappa}| \leq c < \infty$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ and a sequence of times $t_k \to T_{max}$ such that*

$$\int |\kappa(\cdot, t_k)|^2 ds_{t_k} \geq C(T_{max} - t_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Proof. The proof is due to [2, Proposition A] and [5, Proposition 5]. Since $T_{max} < \infty$ we have that $\left\{ \int \kappa^2 ds : t \in [0, T_{max}) \right\}$ is unbounded. As it was pointed out in [5, Proof of Proposition 5] this comes from the fact that the proof of the short time existence only depends on the $C^{1,\alpha}$-norm of the initial data for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For the Neumann boundary condition setting the estimates behind this argument can be found in [11, Lemma 5.3.2].
In order to follow the proof of [5, Proposition 5] we only have show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq C \left(E(t) + E(t)^3 \right)$$

for $E(t) := \int (\kappa - \overline{\kappa})^2 ds$. In [12, Corollary 7.4], the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq C \left(E(t) + E(t)^{\frac{5}{3}} + E(t)^3 \right)$$

is proved under the condition $|\overline{\kappa}| \leq c < \infty$. We have

$$E^{\frac{5}{3}} \leq \begin{Bmatrix} E, & \text{if } 0 \leq E \leq 1 \\ E^3, & \text{if } 1 \leq E \end{Bmatrix} \leq E^3 + E.$$



FIGURE 2. An initial curve, where the flow develops a singularity in finite time, see Example One.

This was also used in [5, Proof of Proposition 5]. □

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 2.21. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.17 or Theorem 2.18 there is a sequence of times* $t_k \to T_{max} < \infty$ *such that* $\int |\kappa(\cdot, t_k)|^2 ds_{t_k} \geq C(T_{max} - t_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

3. EXAMPLES

It remains to show that there are curves that satisfy the conditions from Theorem 2.17 or Theorem 2.18.

Example One Let us consider a curve Σ that almost looks like a circle with ${}^{\Sigma}d > 2\pi$. Then one can construct an initial curve $\gamma_0 : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $L_0 < \frac{4}{3}\pi$, $l = 2$ and $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. An example is drawn in Figure 2. Note that in this example $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is the connection of $\gamma_0(0)$ and $\gamma_0(1)$ along Σ that is visible in the picture. Therefore, we have $l = 2$. We check the isoperimetric quotient of that initial curve and compare it to the conditions of Theorem 2.17:

$$\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0)} < \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{4}{3}\pi\right)^2 = 2\frac{16}{9}\pi < \frac{9}{2}\pi.$$

Thus, this curve develops a singularity in finite time. This is somehow not surprising as it was shown in [5, Proposition 9] that a curve looking like the described γ_0 but closed on the "lower part" (a so-called "limaçon") develops a singularity in finite time under the area preserving curve shortening flow *without boundary*. And the "limaçon" is the classical example where the curve shortening flow (without boundary) develops a type II singularity [1]. These type II singularities are usually expected when there is a self-intersection. But with Case *ii*) from Theorem 2.17 we get examples that behave differently, see Example Two.

Example Two We construct $\hat{\gamma}_0 : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as shown in Figure 3. In this case, $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ starts in $\hat{\gamma}_0(0)$ and goes around G_Σ (on the part of Σ that is not visible in the picture) to $\hat{\gamma}_0(1)$. Thus, $l = 1$, but we are in Case *ii*). We construct $\hat{\gamma}_0$ such that $L_0 < {}^{\Sigma}d$, $L_0 < \frac{4}{3}\pi$ and $A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) + A(\Sigma) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. We conclude again

$$\frac{L_0^2}{A(\gamma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) + A(\Sigma)} < \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{4}{3}\pi\right)^2 = 2\frac{16}{9}\pi < \frac{9}{2}\pi.$$

For $\hat{\gamma}_0$ we conjecture that the curves stay embedded under the flow (2) and that the singularity forms at the boundary.



FIGURE 3. Another initial curve, where the flow develops a singularity in finite time, see Example Two.



FIGURE 4. Another initial curve, where the flow develops a singularity in finite time, see Example Three.

Example Three The conditions of Theorem 2.18 are satisfied by a curve $\bar{\gamma}_0 : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as shown in Figure 4. We choose G_Σ big enough such that $L_0 < {}^\Sigma d$. We have that $\kappa_0 > 0$ and $l = 2$ because $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is the connection between $\bar{\gamma}_0(0)$ and $\bar{\gamma}_0(1)$ along the part of Σ that is visible in the picture. We have constructed $\bar{\gamma}_0$ in such a way that $A(\bar{\gamma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0) < 0$. By Theorem 2.17 we get a singularity in finite time.

4. The area preserving curve shortening flow at a straight line

In this section, we consider the area preserving curve shortening flow (APCSF) at a straight line. We prove that there are initial curves that develop a singularity in finite time. The situation is somehow easier. The strategy is to reflect the curves at the line and to use the results from [5] for the closed case. At first we have to specify some notation for the case that Σ is a straight line.

Definition 4.1. *Consider the map* ${}^\Sigma\tilde{f} : s \mapsto (-s, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$. *The map* ${}^\Sigma\tilde{f}$ *parametrizes the line* $\Sigma := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}, y = 0\}$.
A smooth, regular curve $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ *is called* initial curve *if it satisfies the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0(a), \gamma_0(b) &\in \Sigma \\ \tau_0(a) &= e_2, \\ \tau_0(b) &= -e_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_0 = \partial_s \gamma_0$ *is the tangent of* γ_0 *and* $e_2 = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ *is the second standard vector in* $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ *be an initial curve with* $\kappa_0 > 0$. *Reflect the curve* γ_0 *at the line* Σ *into the lower half space of* $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Then the resulting curve* δ_0 *is a* C^2 *curve with* $ind(\delta_0) =: m \in \mathbb{N}$. *The number* m *is odd.*

Proof. As the curves meet Σ perpendicularly and because of reflection at a line the reflected curves are C^2. We treat two cases:
Case 1: ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}^{-1}(\gamma_0(a)) \leq {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}^{-1}(\gamma_0(b))$.
Then consider $\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0$ where $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is the line segment from $\gamma_0(a)$ to $\gamma_0(b)$. The exterior angles at the points where τ_0 is not continuous are $+\frac{\pi}{2}$. Thus, we have $l := \text{ind}(\gamma_0 - \tilde{\sigma}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int \kappa ds_{\gamma_0} + \pi\right)$ or equivalently $\int \kappa ds_{\gamma_0} = 2\pi l + \pi$. After reflecting we get $\text{ind}(\delta_0) = \frac{2\int \kappa ds_{\gamma_0}}{2\pi} = 2l + 1$.
Case 2: ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}^{-1}(\gamma_0(a)) > {}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}^{-1}(\gamma_0(b))$.
Here, we denote by $\tilde{\delta}_0$ the line segment from $\gamma_0(a)$ to $\gamma_0(b)$. Note that this is oriented in the opposite direction compared to ${}^{\Sigma}\tilde{f}$. Now the exterior angles of $\gamma_0 - \tilde{\delta}_0$ are $-\frac{\pi}{2}$. This implies $\int \kappa ds = 2\pi l - \pi$. By reflection we conclude $\text{ind}(\delta_0) = \frac{2\int \kappa ds_{\gamma_0}}{2\pi} = 2l - 1$. □

Remark The APCSF preserves the reflection symmetry with respect to the x-axis. It hence does not matter whether we start at the straight line the APCSF with Neumann free boundary conditions and then reflect at Σ or if we reflect at first and then consider the APCSF for closed curves. Thus, we recover the APCSF with Neumann free boundary conditions from the flow of the closed curves.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve. Reflect γ_0 at Σ and call the closed curve δ_0. Let ind$(\delta_0) =: m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If*

- *$m \geq 1$ and $A(\gamma_0) < 0$ or*
- *$m \geq 2$ and $L(\delta_0)^2 < 4\pi m A(\delta_0)$*

then the APCSF with Neumann free boundary conditions at Σ forms a singularity in finite time.

Proof. Use [5, Proposition 9] for the flow of the reflected curve. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\gamma_0 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be an initial curve with $\kappa_0 > 0$. Let δ_0 be the closed curve that one gets after reflecting at Σ. Let ind$(\delta_0) = m = 2k + 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, be the index of δ_0. Then the area preserving curve shortening flow with Neumann free boundary conditions at the line Σ develops a type II singularity in finite time if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

i) Either $A(\delta_0) < 0$.
ii) Or $m \geq 3$ and $L(\delta_0)^2 < 4\pi m A(\delta_0)$.

Proof. We use Lemma 4.2 to get $2k + 1 = m \geq 1$. Then we know that $T_{max} < \infty$ due to Proposition 4.3. Denote by δ_t, $t \in [0, T_{max})$, the closed curves and with γ_t, $t \in [0, T_{max})$, the curves with boundary. By the isoperimetric inequality for δ_t we get that $L(\delta_t)^2 \geq 4\pi|A(\delta_t)| = 4\pi|A(\delta_0)|$. This implies $L(\gamma_t)^2 \geq \pi|A(\delta_0)| > 0$. Thus the length is bounded from below uniformly in t. We have that $2\int \kappa ds_{\gamma_0} = \int \kappa ds_{\delta_0} = 2\pi m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Continuity yields $\int \kappa ds_{\gamma_t} = \pi m$ for all $t \in [0, T_{max})$. Thus $0 < c_1 \leq \int \kappa ds \leq c_2 < \infty$ uniformly in t. A blowup argument as in [12, Theorem 4.16] implies that the singularity is of type II. □

Remark *i)* We think that there are curves γ_0 such that $m = 3$ and $L(\delta_0)^2 < 4\pi m A(\delta_0)$ and such that the flow with Neumann free boundary conditions at Σ stays embedded. We construct such a curve as in Figure 3 but at a straight line instead of a curved Σ.

ii) Another approach for the straight line case is to generalize Theorem 2.17 and Theorem 2.18 for the line Σ. We compute the term $\tilde{A}_\infty = \frac{\pi}{2} r_\infty^2$ in the proof of Theorem 2.17 for the straight line and get the same result as in Corollary 4.4.

Appendix A. Plane geometry

Theorem A.1. *Let f be a piecewise smooth, regular and closed curve, defined on intervals $[a_j, b_j]$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, and with exterior angles α_j, $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then*

$$ind(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} \kappa_f ds_f + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \quad \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. See [10, Theorem 2.1.6]. □

References

[1] Sigurd Angenent. On the formation of singularities in the curve shortening flow. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33(3):601–633, 1991.

[2] Kai-Seng Chou. A blow-up criterion for the curve shortening flow by surface diffusion. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 32(1):1–19, 2003.

[3] M. P. do Carmo. *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces*. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1976.

[4] G. Dziuk, E. Kuwert, and R. Schätzle. Evolution of elastic curves in $\mathbb{R}^n$: existence and computation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33(5):1228–1245 (electronic), 2002.

[5] Joachim Escher and Kazuo Ito. Some dynamic properties of volume preserving curvature driven flows. *Math. Ann.*, 333(1):213–230, 2005.

[6] M. Gage. On an area-preserving evolution equation for plane curves. In *Nonlinear problems in geometry (Mobile, Ala., 1985)*, volume 51 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 51–62. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

[7] R. S. Hamilton. The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow. In *Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993)*, pages 7–136. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

[8] R. S. Hamilton. Harnack estimate for the mean curvature flow. *J. Differential Geom.*, 41(1):215–226, 1995.

[9] G. Huisken. The volume preserving mean curvature flow. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 382:35–48, 1987.

[10] W. Klingenberg. *Eine Vorlesung über Differentialgeometrie*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973. Heidelberger Taschenbücher, Band 107.

[11] E. Mäder-Baumdicker. *The area preserving curve shortening flow with Neumann free boundary conditions*. PhD thesis, Freiburg: Univ. Freiburg, Fac. of Math. and Phys. 155 S., 2014.

[12] Elena Mäder-Baumdicker. The area preserving curve shortening flow with Neumann free boundary conditions. *Geom. Flows*, 1:34–79, 2015.

[13] Uwe F. Mayer. A singular example for the averaged mean curvature flow. *Experiment. Math.*, 10(1):103–107, 2001.

[14] A. Stahl. Convergence of solutions to the mean curvature flow with a Neumann boundary condition. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 4(5):421–441, 1996.

[15] A. Stahl. Regularity estimates for solutions to the mean curvature flow with a Neumann boundary condition. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 4(4):385–407, 1996.

[16] Xiao-Liu Wang and Ling-Hua Kong. Area-preserving evolution of nonsimple symmetric plane curves. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 14(2):387–401, 2014.